STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

| CORINNE A. GARRETT, |) |
|------------------------------------|---|
| |) |
| Petitioner, |) |
| |) |
| V. |) |
| |) |
| GARBER HOUSING RESORTS, LLC, A |) |
| FLORIDA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, |) |
| AND FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF |) |
| ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, |) |
| |) |
| Respondents. |) |

OGC CASE NO. 19-0309 DOAH CASE NO. 19-3428

FINAL ORDER

On September 11, 2019, an Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) with the Division of Administrative Hearings (DOAH) submitted a Recommended Order of Dismissal (RO) to the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP or Department) in the above captioned administrative proceeding. A copy of the RO is attached hereto as Exhibit A. No parties filed exceptions to the recommended order.

This matter is now before the Secretary of the Department for final agency action.

BACKGROUND

On March 27, 2019, the Department issued a Site Rehabilitation Completion Order (SRCO) to Respondent Garber Housing Resorts, LLC, (Garber Housing) after reviewing the "Limited Groundwater Assessment" conducted on May 9, 2018, which included a recommendation for Risk Management Option Level I, No Further Action (NFA) without Institution Controls.

Filed November 1, 2019 10:15 AM Division of Administrative Hearings

On April 11, 2019, Connie A. Garrett (Garrett) filed a Request for Extension of Time to File Petition for Administrative Hearing. The Department granted the request on April 19, 2019. On May 9, 2019, Garrett timely filed a Petition for Administrative Hearing (the "Original Petition") for the property located at 15743 and 15747 Old U.S. Highway 441, Tavares, Florida owned by Garber Housing. On July 18, 2019, the Division of Administrative Hearings ("DOAH") dismissed the Original Petition for failure to comply with the requirements of Florida Administrative Code Rule 28-106.201. DOAH's Order granted Garrett ten days to file an amended petition that complied with the requirements of Florida Administrative Code Rule 28-106.201 and did not contain the irrelevant or immaterial allegations as discussed in the Order. On July 23, 2019, Garrett filed an "Amended Petition." On September 11, 2019, DOAH dismissed the Amended Petition, with prejudice, in the form of a Recommended Order of Dismissal for again failing to comply with the requirements of Florida Administrative Code Rule 28-106.201. No parties filed exceptions to the recommended order.

THE RECOMMENDED ORDER OF DISMISSAL

On September 11, 2019, DOAH dismissed the Amended Petition, with prejudice, in the form of a Recommended Order of Dismissal for again failing to comply with the requirements of Florida Administrative Code Rule 28-106.201. The ALJ found:

- The Amended Petition was legally insufficient because it did not contain allegations of the specific factual disputes of material fact, the ultimate facts that warrant reversal or modification of the Department's proposed SRCO, and an explanation of how the alleged facts relate to the applicable rules or statutes; and
- The Amended Petition contains allegations that are not cognizable in this type of environmental administrative proceeding.

STANDARDS OF REVIEW OF DOAH RECOMMENDED ORDERS

Section 120.57(1)(1), Florida Statutes, forbids agency reviewing a recommended order from rejecting or modifying the findings of fact of an ALJ, "unless the agency first determines from a review of the entire record, and states with particularity in the order, that the findings of fact were not based on competent substantial evidence." § 120.57(1)(1), Fla. Stat. (2019); *Charlotte Cty. v. IMC Phosphates Co.*, 18 So. 3d 1079, 1082 (Fla. 2d DCA 2009); *Wills v. Fla. Elections Comm'n*, 955 So. 2d 61, 62 (Fla. 1st DCA 2007). The term "competent substantial evidence" does not relate to the quality, character, convincing power, probative value or weight of the evidence. Rather, "competent substantial evidence" refers to the existence of some evidence (quantity) as to each essential element and as to its admissibility under legal rules of evidence. *See e.g., Scholastic Book Fairs, Inc. v. Unemployment Appeals Comm'n*, 671 So. 2d 287, 289 n.3 (Fla. 5th DCA 1996).

Accordingly, the Secretary may not reweigh the evidence presented at a DOAH final hearing, attempt to resolve conflicts therein, or judge the credibility of witnesses. *See e.g., Rogers v. Dep't of Health,* 920 So. 2d 27, 30 (Fla. 1st DCA 2005); *Belleau v. Dep't of Envtl. Prot.,* 695 So. 2d 1305, 1307 (Fla. 1st DCA 1997); *Dunham v. Highlands Cty. Sch. Bd.,* 652 So. 2d 894, 896 (Fla. 2d DCA 1995). The ALJ's decision to accept the testimony of one expert witness over that of another expert is an evidentiary ruling that cannot be altered by a reviewing agency, absent a complete lack of any competent substantial evidence of record supporting this decision. *See e.g., Peace River/Manasota Reg'l Water Supply Auth. v. IMC Phosphates Co., 18 So. 3d 1079, 1088* (Fla. 2d DCA 2009); *Collier Med. Ctr. v. State, Dep't of HRS*, 462 So. 2d 83, 85 (Fla. 1st DCA 1985); *Fla. Chapter of Sierra Club v. Orlando Utils. Comm'n*, 436 So. 2d 383, 389 (Fla. 5th DCA 1983).

If the DOAH record discloses any competent substantial evidence supporting a challenged factual finding of the ALJ, the agency is bound by such factual finding in preparing the Final Order. *See, e.g., Walker v. Bd. of Prof'l Eng'rs*, 946 So. 2d 604, 605 (Fla 1st DCA 2006); *Fla. Dep't of Corr. v. Bradley*, 510 So. 2d 1122, 1123 (Fla. 1st DCA 1987). If there is competent substantial evidence to support an ALJ's findings of fact, it is irrelevant that there may also be competent substantial evidence supporting a contrary finding. *See, e.g., Constr. Co. v. Dyer*, 592 So. 2d 276, 280 (Fla. 1st DCA 1991); *Conshor, Inc., v. Roberts*, 498 So. 2d 622, 623 (Fla. 1st DCA 1986). In addition, an agency has no authority to make independent or supplemental findings of fact. *See, e.g., Fla. Power & Light Co. v. Siting Bd.*, 693 So. 2d 1025, 1026-1027 (Fla. 1st DCA 1997); *North Port, Fla. v. Consol. Minerals*, 645 So. 2d 485, 487 (Fla. 2d DCA 1994).

Section 120.57(1)(1), Florida Statutes, authorizes an agency to reject or modify an ALJ's conclusions of law and interpretations of administrative rules "over which it has substantive jurisdiction." *See Barfield v. Dep't of Health*, 805 So. 2d 1008, 1012 (Fla. 1st DCA 2001); *Deep Lagoon Boat Club, Ltd. v. Sheridan*, 784 So. 2d 1140, 1141-42 (Fla. 2d DCA 2001). However, the agency should not label what is essentially an ultimate factual determination as a "conclusion of law" in order to modify or overturn what it may view as an unfavorable finding of fact. *See, e.g., Stokes v. State, Bd. of Prof'l Eng'rs*, 952 So. 2d 1224, 1225 (Fla. 1st DCA 2007). Thus, the agency's review of legal conclusions in a recommended order is restricted to those that concern matters within the agency's field of expertise or "substantive jurisdiction." *See, e.g., Charlotte Cty. v. IMC Phosphates Co.*, 18 So. 3d at 1088; *G.E.L. Corp. v. Dep't of Envtl. Prot.*, 875 So. 2d 1257, 1264 (Fla. 5th DCA 2004).

In addition, agencies do not have jurisdiction to modify or reject rulings on the admissibility of evidence. Evidentiary rulings of the ALJ that deal with "factual issues susceptible to ordinary methods of proof that are not infused with [agency] policy considerations," are not matters over which the agency has "substantive jurisdiction." *See Martuccio v. Dep't of Prof'l Regulation*, 622 So. 2d 607, 609 (Fla. 1st DCA 1993); *Heifetz v. Dep't of Bus. Regulation*, 475 So. 2d 1277, 1281 (Fla. 1st DCA 1985); *Fla. Power & Light Co.*, 693 So. 2d at 1028. Evidentiary rulings are matters within the ALJ's sound "prerogative . . . as the finder of fact" and may not be reversed on agency review. *See Martuccio*, 622 So. 2d at 609.

If an ALJ improperly labels a conclusion of law as a finding of fact, the label should be disregarded, and the item treated as though it were a conclusion of law. *See, e.g., Battaglia Properties, Ltd., v. Fla. Land and Water Adjudicatory Comm'n*, 629 So. 2d 161, 168 (Fla. 5th DCA 1994). However, neither should the agency label what is essentially an ultimate factual determination as a "conclusion of law" to modify or overturn what it may view as an unfavorable finding of fact. *See, e.g., Stokes*, 952 So. 2d at 1225.

CONCLUSION

No exceptions to the findings of fact and conclusions of law of the Recommended Order were timely filed. Having considered the applicable law and being otherwise duly advised, it is

ORDERED that:

A. The ALJ's Recommended Order (Exhibit A) is adopted and incorporated by reference herein.

B. DEP Site Rehabilitation Order for WCU Site ID: COM_269860 is APPROVED.

JUDICIAL REVIEW

Any party to this proceeding has the right to seek judicial review of the Final Order pursuant to Section 120.68, Florida Statutes, by the filing of a Notice of Appeal pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure, with the clerk of the Department in the Office of General Counsel, 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, M.S. 35, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000; and by filing a copy of the Notice of Appeal accompanied by the applicable filing fees with the appropriate District Court of Appeal. The Notice of Appeal must be filed within 30 days from the date this Final Order is filed with the clerk of the Department.

DONE AND ORDERED this <u>lst</u> day of December 2019, in Tallahassee, Florida.

STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

NOAH VALENSTEIN Secretary

Marjory Stoneman Douglas Building 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000

FILED ON THIS DATE PURSUANT TO § 120.52, FLORIDA STATUTES, WITH THE DESIGNATED DEPARTMENT CLERK, RECEIPT OF WHICH IS HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGED.

CLERK

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing Final Order has been sent by

electronic mail to:

| Rebecca E. Rhoden, Esq. Lowndes, Drosdick, Doster, Kantor & Reed, P.A. 215 North Eola Drive Orlando, FL 32802 <u>Rebecca.rhoden@lowndes-law.com</u> | Lorrain. M. Novak, Esq. Department of Environmental Protection Office of General Counsel 3900 Commonwealth Blvd., MS 35 Tallahassee, FL 32399-3000 Lorraine.m.novak@FloridaDEP.gov |
|--|---|
| Tara.tedrow@lowndes-law.com Mcgregor.love@lowndes-law.com litcontrol@lowndes-law.com lynn.elston@lowndes-law.com | |
| Corinne A. Garrett 31304 Saunders Drive Tavares, FL 32778 corigarrett@comcast.net | |

day of December, 2019. this 1st

STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

N. WEST GREGORY

Senior Assistant General Counsel

3900 Commonwealth Blvd., M.S. 35 Tallahassee, FL 32399-3000 Telephone 850/245-2242 email West.Gregory@FloridaDEP.gov